The volleyball team ended their season with a victory over St. Francis-N.Y. on Tuesday. Sophomore Jaclyn Levi, who compiled 10 kills and 18 digs, and junior Amy Hoshholder, who added 15 kills and 27 digs, played in her final home match, senior Laura Berger, had 59 assists.

The ice hockey team continued its trend of splitting games last weekend. The team tied William Patterson 4-4 on Friday night, but bounced back on Saturday with a 6-2 victory over Millville.

For the women, freshman Jen Feenstra won the 200m free and 200m butterfly and swam on the 400m medley relay.

Athletes of the Week
Senior Sean O’Hare has been named the Rider News Male Athlete of the Week. He was named to the second team All-MAAC Soccer Team. O’Hare led the Rider MAAC in game-winning goals with three. He finished fourth in the MAAC in goals with eight, and was fifth in points with 17.

Freshman Courtney Bertos has been named The Rider News Female Athlete of the Week. Bertos scored the Broncos only goal in Tuesday’s loss to Richmond. She has been named the NEC Rookie of the Year and was named to the All-NEC Team.

Faculty from page 9
If David Duke, former head of the KKK, or William Shockley, the author of books about genetic inferiority, were to be invited to campus to speak, would most of the faculty and student body quietly stand by as they are doing with Baraka? Would the University schedule him to appear when few will be around to hear him and question his views? Duke was a racist and may still be spreading the Aryan philosophy of white supremacy. Dr. Shockley was a Nobel Prize winner in Physics. His theories of genetic factors (genetic inferiority) rationalizing the plight of African Americans would have been denounced, as they have been in other places by all people of good will. These kinds of racial theories of intelligence would have brought the faculty and certainly members of the African-American community to their respective feet. There would have been an outcry. They should be allowed freedom of speech, but in a larger forum where members of the academic and social communities could confront and challenge their assertions. The administration, I suspect, would have issued a statement denouncing racist views. Not so in Baraka’s case. Shamefully this has not happened.

I do believe in academic freedom. But I would insist on an evening town hall meeting where Baraka could be questioned spontaneously by students, faculty, administrators, and community citizens. I would not do what the University is doing in Baraka’s case-limiting exposure to Baraka’s misrepresentations to a small campus audience. But that is what the University is doing, I hope I am wrong. But I think not. The plan, as I was told, is to invite Baraka and have him share his thoughts with selected students and some faculty members and then schedule Baraka for a later afternoon meeting with whomever wishes to come. Then Baraka will go back to wherever he comes from and Rider will have dodged the bullet, making no official statement about Baraka’s appearance. Silence is not golden in this case. Silence bespeaks acquiescence. Baraka’s case is being brought to the University for the last day of class. Who will challenge Baraka’s anti-Semitism? Who will stand up and speak the truth against his falsehoods? Few, I am afraid.

In his controversial poem, Baraka supports the Israelis, and he challenges the attack on the World Trade Center (WTC) and that 4,000 Israelis stayed home. He attributes this information to the Internet and others. This figure of 4,000 was circulated throughout the Middle East after the attack on AL MANAR Television in Lebanon (controlled by Syria). Consider his sources. He says that 4,000 Israelis worked in the WTC, but that is unbelievable. There were about 50,000 workers at the WTC overall, spread out over 300 companies. Of these companies, only two were Israeli owned (the Israel Navigation Company and Clear Forest). What is the purpose of spreading misinformation to students?

Baraka has not been invited to the campus unless he was invited to debate someone about the issues at hand. The University should have said that...it still should say it.

Martin Luther King declaimed in his famous ‘I Have A Dream’ speech that he had a dream that whites and blacks, Jews and Gentiles, Protestants and Catholics would be able to sit down to the table of brotherhood together. You, Mr. Baraka, with your misleading statements, divide us and have made Dr. King’s dream a nightmare. Well, do it somewhere else, not here.

Dr. Howard Schwartz Department Chair of Communication

Panthers from page 9
administration has tried to convince terrorists hate us because we are free. Americans don’t want to hear that many people around the world may have good reason to hate us, and although terrorism is certainly not the answer, we must understand that people’s anger may be valid.

In the past 50 years the United States has simply become a bully, attacking small countries we know cannot fight back. In our quest to stop communism and protect our economic interests, specifically oil, we have supported financially and militarily some of the worst dictators, and human rights abusers in the world. We have overthrown democratically elected governments, and replaced them with bloodthirsty tyrants. I don’t have time to explain in detail each situation but if you examine U.S. policy in Guatemala, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Peru, Chile, Cambodia, Grenada, Afghanistan, Iraq, Panama, Iran, Indonesia, Colombia, East Timor, and Sudan you will find that our government is responsible for the deaths of tens of millions of innocent people; can we blame their friends and families for wanting revenge? If Dr. Gallay is going to call Baraka anti-American for being critical of his government, he may as well call Thomas Jefferson an “intellectual terrorist,” for opposing his government policies. If Baraka hated America, he wouldn’t live here. There are plenty of other countries who share our same freedoms where he could live. Baraka is a patriot. He just, like so many of us, is disgusted at what our government has become. Instead of listening to President Bush cheerlead around issues on TV, perhaps Dr. Gallay should open his eyes to what our government is really doing behind the backs then reread the poem. He then may find himself on the path to becoming a “bleeding heart liberal.”