Joseph Hilton, Senior
“Is a registered Republican, but I’m not voting for either Bush or Kerry. I’m leaning toward the Libertarian, candidates. M. Bader and R. Brown, I think. I would not vote for a Democrat. I think I will end up voting for one of the Independents. I would have to read the Bio of each candidate to find out who they support and what they stand for.”

Frank Letteri, Senior
“I won’t vote because I have to report it at work. I will vote for Kerry.”

Nicole Lantino, Senior
“I’m voting for Kerry because he’s not Bush. Kerry’s policies are closer to my life. I can see in a president, I’m concerned about a possible draft and draft rights, because all of those issues concern me.”

Q&A: What matters to you in this election?

Debate Review

Friday, October 8, 2004 turned out to be quite a contest even with Kerry slightly lagging behind Bush. Kerry responded that he had not voted for the war. Bush argued that he had fought in the war. Kerry tried to paint Bush as a flip-flopper. But he was known to be a person in a polyphonic manner. In this debate, Bush tried to paint Kerry as a flip-flopper, even after he explained why he voted for the Iraq War. “I did not vote to give the authority, because I thought Saddam Hussein was a threat, and I still accept that intelligence. But I did not want Saddam Hussein to have weapons of mass destruction.”

Tuesday, October 5, 2004 was the last debate. Bush, slightly lagging behind Kerry, said he was a man of principle. Bush tried to paint Kerry as a flip-flopper. “I don’t want to make decisions about your future!”

Nicholas Ballasy

George W. Bush is a name that has many different meanings to many kinds of people. To me, it is a name I associate with saying “the loser of two evils.” You may be thinking of yourself, what does that mean? I have come to see George W. Bush as the man I want to run my country for the next four years, not because I think he is better than John Kerry, but rather because John Kerry is worse.

If you look back at September 11, I am not sure anyone could have handled that situation much differently. Honestly believe we bounced back externally well to a nation, economically and emotionally after such a devastating blow.

Now you ask yourself, but what about the war? Saddam Hussein was a serious threat to the U.S. in the world today. The ability to defend the country from the terrorist attacks.

Kim Fleming

The biggest misconception of the night was Bush denying that he was the one who voted for the war. Bush said, “I believe the ideal world is one in which every child is protected in line and welcomed to life.” If you are pro-life, you are pro-life for all. How can you tell people your pro-life when he is for the death penalty and war? Bush followed up by saying “The stock market was declining because there was a big threat to the world that was the biggest stock market correction—one of the largest in the history of the country. The first president in 72 years to lose jobs. This is the first time the United States has ever had a stock market over 100.”

David Macar
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The lesser of two evils

In today’s society, voters believe there are only two political parties in the country that serve any purpose. Of course, those parties are the Democratic Party and the Republican or Grand Old Party (GOP).

Specifically in this year’s important Presidential election, the country is focused on Democratic candidate John John Kerry and Republican candidate President George W. Bush. In all key ability, this election is going to be one of the closest in history. But why will it be so close? Because both candidates are not so good and I am finding that people are voting for the lesser of two evils.

On one hand we have liberal John Kerry. This is a man who many people cannot really say much about, but I am one of them. On the other hand we have George Bush. Bush is the most well intelligent presidents yet. I am not sure how to choose here? Yes. Despite his ability to keep the United States America somewhat stable after the attacks of 9/11, he pushed a ridiculous amount of money into the war on Iraq, a country that had nothing to do with 9/11.

So, as voters, have a tough decision for the 2004 Presidential election. Why must we look at it this way? Why do I think that these are the only two candidates out there? I personally have researched some of the third-party candidates, includ-
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